

APPENDIX B

SOUTH LAKE TAHOE GROUNDWATER FLOW MODEL



U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center

Simulation of Lake-Groundwater Interaction, South Lake Tahoe, California



September 2003

Prepared for:
US Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District, Environmental Engineering Branch
1325 J. St., Sacramento, CA 95814

PR-55

Simulation of Lake-Groundwater Interaction, South Lake Tahoe, California

September 2003

Prepared for:
US Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District, Environmental Engineering Branch
1325 J. St., Sacramento, CA 95814

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center
609 Second St, Davis CA 95616 (530) 756-1104

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Construction and calibration of the South Lake Tahoe groundwater flow model was performed by Jon Fenske and Mak Shatila of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hydrologic Engineering Center (HEC). The Project Manager for this study is Phillip Brozek of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineer, Sacramento District. Assistance with site conceptualization was provided by Lewis Hunter and Tim Crummett of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District. Data was provided by Meegan Nagy and Melissa Kieffer of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Sacramento District. The authors of this document are Jon Fenske and Mak Shatila of HEC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figures.....	iv
List of Tables.....	v
1. Introduction.....	1
2. Site Description.....	1
3. Prior Groundwater Modeling Studies.....	3
4. Data Analysis.....	4
5. Development of Groundwater Flow Model.....	6
6. Model Calibration.....	12
7. Model Application.....	13
8. Sensitivity Analysis.....	15
9. Conclusions and Recommendations.....	17
10. References.....	18

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Study area.....	20
Figure 2	Representation of boundary conditions.....	21
Figure 3	(a) Lakebed elevation at south Lake Tahoe and (b) lakebed elevation simulated by model.....	22
Figure 4	Representative profile of General Head Boundary (GHB) configuration used to simulate lake-groundwater interaction.....	23
Figure 5	Representation of layer 1 hydraulic conductivity (K_h) used in model.....	24
Figure 6	Representation of layer 2 hydraulic conductivity (K_h) used in model.....	24
Figure 7	Representation of layer 3 hydraulic conductivity (K_h) used in model.....	25
Figure 8	Representation of layer 4 hydraulic conductivity (K_h) used in model.....	25
Figure 9	Representation of layer 5 hydraulic conductivity (K_h) used in model.....	26
Figure 10	Representation of layer 6 hydraulic conductivity (K_h) used in model.....	26
Figure 11	Delineation of south Lake Tahoe shoreline and tables of total and net fluxes per region for various scenarios.....	27
Figure 12	Side-view representation of “high discharge conditions” water exchange between groundwater and south Lake Tahoe.....	28
Figure 13	Side-view representation of “low discharge conditions” water exchange between groundwater and south Lake Tahoe.....	28
Figure 14	Side-view representation of effect of GHB boundary conceptualization on water exchange between groundwater and south Lake Tahoe.....	29

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Hydraulic conductivity units.....	11
Table 2	Sensitivity of simulated groundwater discharge to hydrologic parameters.....	15
Table 3	Sensitivity of simulated groundwater discharge to lake elevation.....	15